Violence against women in elections (VAWE) can be any act of violence that is unduly directed at someone because of her gender, and that seeks to influence her engagement in the electoral process.

Institute of Informatics and Development (IID) conducted a study between October 2018 and March 2019 in eight constituencies around the country to assess VAWE in Bangladesh.

The study revealed a general lack of understanding and recognition of violence, which is generally considered only as physical harm or murder. Violence around elections affects people regardless of their gender identity. Nonetheless, women’s experience of violence differs to that of men’s. VAWE can both be gender based violence (GBV) and electoral violence. Yet, political and partisan narratives of election-time violence often overshadow the gender perspective of VAWE.

However, VAWE that occurred in Subarnachar Upazila during the national election 2018 and local elections 2019 further emphasized the importance of countering violence against women during election time.

3 major observations:

1. During National Election 2018, fear of violence was predominant, than incidence of actual violence

2. Violence against women gets overlooked or not perceived as electoral violence because of the normalization of gender based violence and ignorance among electoral stakeholders about VAWE

3. Only 69 out of 1,848, that is 1 in 27 candidates were women during the National Election 2018. Such lower participation of women in politics can also result in seemingly lower number of recorded violence.

Study Location

8 Constituencies

Total interviews 2350

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-election</th>
<th>Post-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voter</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party activists</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election observer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election officials</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media person</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Highlights from the Study Findings

Obstacles to voter registration

What are the obstacles women face for voter registration?

- 41% Finding transport to the registration center
- 36% Hiding actual age for early marriage
- 34% Not visiting door for registration

Character defamation of candidates

Candidates who faced character attacks;

9% of them were women.

Family pressure

6 out of 9 respondents who faced are women

Most of the voters face psychological pressure

48% 72%

Psychological pressure

The potential for VAVE impact men’s desire to allow their female family members to participate as voters, candidates, election workers etc. Since women stay at home and have less access to information about politics, men decide whether women can vote or whom to vote.

Labeling as ‘safety concern’ men either accompany their women household member along with them or do not let them cast their votes in case there is any fear of unrest.

(Media consultation, FGD-Male voters).

Voter Intimidation

15% women reported that they faced intimidation

Type of Intimidation women face most frequently:

- 69% Threat/coercion
- 31% Psychological
- 26% Arrest/Legal charges

Women’s lack of education triggers political parties to manipulate women’s voting decisions. Women are often threatened by the campaigners not to vote or vote the candidate they are suggested to. (FGD-Gazipur, Cox’s Bazar)

Hate speech against candidate

During interviews, one female MP said that she was slandered by her own party members that intended to disempower her because of gender identity.

In KILs, 2 voters from different constituencies reported that they have heard hate speech against female candidates on social media. In both cases the perpetrators were male and were members of the same party of those female candidate’s.

Restriction on campaign activities

17% 30%

Restriction on campaign activities
One woman campaign worker from Gazipur reported that she had to face intimidation before and after city corporation election that was held in 2018. Activists from the competing party intimidated by throwing stones and projecting light inside her home at night (just to give her the vibe that she is being watched). But she mentioned this in the FGD, other women argued that it doesn’t constitute as violence, since she was not physically assaulted! (FGD-Gazipur)

**Gender bias in administration**

11% sees EC as a highly gender biased authority.

During the political consultation at Barishal, a female mayor candidate mentioned that she filed written complaint against another party’s activists who threatened people because they raised funds for her electoral campaign. But the EC or security force did not take any action.

**Violence on Election Day**

10% voters reported there were violence on the National Election Day 2018 inside the polling center.

Women who reported violence, mentioned the

71% Creating fear  57% Physical violence  43% Violating ballot secrecy

In the city corporation election, people of one party did not let the female polling agent of another party enter into the polling centers.

A female mayor candidate were physically harassed inside the center on election day because she protested against stuffing ballots by other party activists. But even upon filing complaints, election official, police or magistrate did not take any action. (Political consultation meeting)

**Voter awareness**

Women found to be more aware of generic information-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Men found to be more aware of specific information-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>About candidate</th>
<th>Ballot secrecy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Voting statistics**

Lesser women went to cast vote

77%  63%

Women who went to cast vote, among them 29% said they could not cast their own vote.
**Study Approach**

- **Literature Review**
- **Focused Group Discussion**
- **Key Informant Interviews (KII)**
- **Consultation Meeting**
- **Questionnaire Development**
- **Researchers Training**
- **Field survey starts**
- **Tab-based Survey**
- **Gender Sensitization**
- **Response Protocol**
- **Early findings sharing**
- **Fiction video on VAW-E**
- **Documentary on VAWE**
- **Policy Breakfast**
- **Televised Roundtable**
- **Consultation meetings**
- **Roundtable meeting**
- **Internal meetings**
- **VAWE web portal**
- **Social media campaign**
- **Dissemination of Study Findings**
- **Field survey ends**

**Timeline**

- **2008**
  - Oct: Study Begins
  - Nov: Pre-election study
  - Dec: Post-election study
  - Jan: Study Begins
  - Feb: Study Ends
  - Mar: Sharing Findings
  - Apr: Social Media Promotion
  - May: Sharing Findings
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